

Modelling Dynamic Conditional Correlations in WTI Oil Forward and Futures Returns

Alessandro Lanza, Matteo Manera
and Michael McAleer

NOTA DI LAVORO 72.2004

APRIL 2004

IEM – International Energy Markets

Alessandro Lanza, *Eni S.p.A and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei*
Matteo Manera, *Department of Statistics, University of Milan-Bicocca*
and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Michael McAleer, *School of Economics and Commerce, University of Western Australia*

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index:
<http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htm>

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection:
<http://ssrn.com/abstract=XXXXXX>

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of
Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Modelling Dynamic Conditional Correlations in WTI Oil Forward and Futures Returns

Summary

This paper estimates the dynamic conditional correlations in the returns on WTI oil one-month forward prices, and one-, three-, six-, and twelve-month futures prices, using recently developed multivariate conditional volatility models. The dynamic correlations enable a determination of whether the forward and various futures returns are substitutes or complements, which are crucial for deciding whether or not to hedge against unforeseen circumstances. The models are estimated using daily data on WTI oil forward and futures prices, and their associated returns, from 3 January 1985 to 16 January 2004. At the univariate level, the estimates are statistically significant, with the occasional asymmetric effect in which negative shocks have a greater impact on volatility than positive shocks. In all cases, both the short- and long-run persistence of shocks are statistically significant. Among the five returns, there are ten conditional correlations, with the highest estimate of constant conditional correlation being 0.975 between the volatilities of the three-month and six-month futures returns, and the lowest being 0.656 between the volatilities of the forward and twelve-month futures returns. The dynamic conditional correlations can vary dramatically, being negative in four of ten cases and being close to zero in another five cases. Only in the case of the dynamic volatilities of the three-month and six-month futures returns is the range of variation relatively narrow, namely (0.832, 0.996). Thus, in general, the dynamic volatilities in the returns in the WTI oil forward and future prices can be either independent or interdependent over time.

Keywords: Constant conditional correlations, Dynamic conditional correlations, Multivariate GARCH models, Forward prices and returns, Futures prices and returns, WTI oil prices

JEL Classification: C32, G10, Q40

The authors wish to thank Damiano Brigo, Felix Chan, Umberto Cherubini, Marzio Galeotti, Toshiki Honda, Ryoza Miura, Kazuhiko Ohashi and Peter Thomson for insightful discussion, seminar participants at the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Catholic University of Milan and University of Milan-Bicocca for useful comments and suggestions, and Margherita Grasso for efficient research assistance. The third author is most grateful for the hospitality of the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and the financial support of the Australian Research Council. An earlier version of this paper was presented at the 4th International Conference on Financial Engineering and Statistical Finance, Hitotsubashi University, Tokyo, Japan, March 2004.

Address for correspondence:

Matteo Manera
Department of Statistics
University of Milan-Bicocca
Via Bicocca degli Arcimboldi, 8
20126 Milan
Italy
Phone: +39 02 64487319
Fax: +39 02 6473312
E-mail: matteo.manera@unimib.it

1. Introduction

Substantial research has been undertaken on spot, forward and futures markets of both physical and financial commodities. Much of the research on analyzing the connection between spot, forward and futures prices, and their associated returns, has concentrated on the unbiasedness or efficient market hypothesis and, when such prices are non-stationary, on cointegration among these variables. Hypotheses regarding efficient markets are important for understanding optimal decision making in terms of hedging and speculation. They are also crucial for making financial decisions about the optimal allocation of portfolios of assets in terms of their multivariate returns and associated risks.

Little or no research has been undertaken on analyzing the volatilities (or risks) associated with these portfolios of returns at the multivariate level. Shocks to returns can be decomposed into predictable and unpredictable components. There are two predictable components in these shocks to returns, namely the serial correlation in shocks to the conditional mean and the volatility in the conditional variance. These volatilities can vary over time, either conditionally, as in GARCH-type models, or randomly, as in Stochastic Volatility (SV) models. SV models are typically computationally intensive, even at the univariate level. Extensions to multivariate SV models are presently at a relatively early stage of development. On the other hand, univariate and multivariate GARCH models have become widely established in theoretical and empirical finance and financial econometrics. The structural and statistical properties have been fully developed, and the computational requirements are not generally burdensome, except in special circumstances.

In the case of modelling multivariate returns, such as the returns on the forward and futures prices of different maturities in the market for WTI oil, the shocks to returns not only have dynamic interdependence in risks, but also in the conditional correlations. This is an extension of the constant (or static) conditional correlation approach to analyzing multivariate risks associated with portfolios of assets.

The purpose of this paper is to estimate the dynamic conditional correlations in the returns on WTI oil one-month forward prices, and one-, three-, six-, and twelve-month futures prices, using recently developed multivariate conditional volatility models. The dynamic correlations will enable a determination of whether the forward and various futures returns are substitutes or complements,

which are crucial for deciding whether or not to hedge against unforeseen circumstances. The models are estimated using daily data on WTI oil forward and futures prices, and their associated returns, from 3 January 1985 to 16 January 2004. At the univariate level, the estimates are statistically significant, with the occasional asymmetric effect in which negative shocks have a greater impact on volatility than positive shocks. There can be substantial differences among the estimated constant and dynamic conditional correlations. It is found that the dynamic volatilities in the returns in the WTI oil forward and future prices can be either independent or interdependent over time.

The plan of the paper is as follows. Section 2 analyses market efficiency and volatility in the energy market. Alternative multivariate volatility models are discussed in Section 3. The data used in the empirical analysis and the resulting estimates are presented in Section 4. Some concluding remarks are given in Section 5.

2. Market Efficiency and Volatility in the Energy Market

The literature on the relationships between spot and futures prices of petroleum products has examined issues such as market efficiency and price discovery, but far less attention has been paid to volatility, as well as correlations in the shocks to volatility, in the spot and futures markets. Given the importance of both aspects for the present paper, this section provides a brief discussion of the relevant literature.

2.1. Market Efficiency Literature

A standard definition of market efficiency is that today's price of an item contains all the price information about that item. That is, today's price contains information about people's expectations about the future. The hypothesis that heating oil futures prices are good predictors of spot prices was tested by Bopp and Sitzer (1987), who found that, even when crude oil prices, inventory levels, weather, and other important variables were accounted for, futures prices still made a significant positive contribution to describing past price changes. Serletis and Banack (1990) used daily data for the spot and two-month futures crude oil prices, and for prices of gasoline and heating oil traded

on the New York Stock Exchange (NYMEX), to test for market efficiency, and found evidence that was consistent with this hypothesis.

Crowder and Hamid (1993) used cointegration analysis to test the simple efficiency hypothesis and the arbitrage condition for crude oil futures. In the price discovery literature, Quan (1992) examined the price discovery process for the crude oil market using monthly data, and found that the futures price did not play an important role in this process. Using daily data for NYMEX closing futures prices, Schwartz and Szakmary (1994) found that futures prices strongly dominated in the price discovery relative to the deliverable spots in all three petroleum markets. Gulen (1999) applied cointegration tests in a series of oil markets with pairwise comparisons on post-1990 data, and concluded that oil markets have grown more unified during the period 1994-1996 as compared with the period 1991-1994. Silvapulle and Moosa (1999) examined the daily spot and futures prices of WTI crude using both linear and non-linear causality testing. They found that linear causality testing revealed that futures prices lead spot prices, whereas non-linear causality testing revealed a bi-directional effect. Xiaowen and Tamvakis (2001) investigated information transmission between the NYMEX and London's International Petroleum Exchange, and found that NYMEX was a true leader in the crude oil market. Hammoudeh et al. (2003) also investigated information transmission among NYMEX WTI crude prices, NYMEX gasoline prices, NYMEX heating oil prices, and among international gasoline spot markets, including the Rotterdam and Singapore markets, and found the NYMEX gasoline market to be the true leader.

2.2. Volatility and the Energy Market

Day and Lewis (1993) compared the forecasts of crude oil volatility using GARCH(1,1), EGARCH(1,1), implied volatility and historical volatility models, based on daily data from November 1986 to March 1991. Using OLS regressions of realized volatility on out-of sample forecasts, they examined the unbiasedness of the forecasts. The accuracy of out-of sample forecasts was compared using traditional criteria such as the mean forecast error, mean absolute error, and root mean squared error. They also analysed the within-sample information content of implied volatility, by including it as predictor in the GARCH and EGARCH models. It was found that both implied volatilities, as well as the GARCH and EGARCH conditional volatilities, contributed incremental volatility information. The null hypothesis that implied volatilities subsumed all information contained in observed returns was rejected, as was the hypothesis that option prices had

no additional information. This would indicate that a composite forecast based on implied volatility and GARCH estimates would yield superior results as each would contribute unique information that was not contained in the other. However, empirical evidence indicated that the GARCH forecasts and historical volatility did not add substantial explanatory power to forecasts that were based on implied volatilities.

Tests for the accuracy of forecasts based on traditional forecast error criteria also support the conclusion that the implied volatilities alone are sufficient for market professionals to predict short-run volatilities of up to two months. Duffie and Gray (1995) constructed in-sample and out-of-sample forecasts for volatility in the crude oil, heating oil, and natural gas markets over the period May 1988 to July 1992. Forecasts from GARCH(1,1), EGARCH(1,1), bivariate GARCH(1,1), regime switching, implied volatility, and historical volatility predictors were compared with the realized volatility in terms of root mean squared error. They found that implied volatility yielded the best in-sample and out-of-sample forecasts, and that historical volatility forecasts were superior to their GARCH counterparts in the out-of-sample forecasts.

3. Modelling Multivariate Volatility

The purpose of the empirical section is to model the volatility in the returns of one-month WTI forward oil prices, and one-, three-, six-, and twelve-month oil futures prices. The estimated multivariate models are the Constant Conditional Correlation (CCC) Multivariate GARCH model of Bollerslev (1990) and the Dynamic Conditional Correlation (DCC) model of Engle (2002). The specification, as well as the structural and statistical properties, of these models are discussed briefly in this section.

Consider the following specification:

$$y_t = E(y_t | F_{t-1}) + \mathbf{e}_t \tag{1}$$

$$\mathbf{e}_t = D_t \mathbf{h}_t,$$

where $y_t = (y_{1t}, \dots, y_{mt})'$, $\mathbf{h}_t = (\mathbf{h}_{1t}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{mt})'$ is a sequence of independently and identically distributed (iid) random vectors, F_t is the past information available up to time t , $D_t = \text{diag}(h_{1t}^{1/2}, \dots, h_{mt}^{1/2})$, m is the total number of oil price returns to be analysed, and $t = 1, \dots, n$. Bollerslev (1990) assumed that the conditional variance for each return, h_{it} , $i = 1, \dots, m$, follows a univariate GARCH process, that is,

$$h_{it} = \mathbf{w}_i + \sum_{j=1}^r \mathbf{a}_{ij} \mathbf{e}_{i,t-j}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^s \mathbf{b}_{ij} h_{i,t-j} \quad (2)$$

where \mathbf{a}_{ij} represents the ARCH effects, or the short-run persistence of shocks to return i , and \mathbf{b}_{ij} represents the GARCH effects, or the contribution of shocks to return i to long-run persistence, namely $\sum_{j=1}^r \mathbf{a}_{ij} + \sum_{j=1}^s \mathbf{b}_{ij}$.

Although the CCC specification in (2) has a computational advantage over some other multivariate GARCH models, such as the BEKK model of Engle and Kroner (1995), which models conditional covariances, CCC nevertheless assumes independence of the conditional variances across returns and does not accommodate asymmetric behaviour. In order to accommodate the asymmetric impacts of positive and negative shocks, Glosten, Jagannathan and Runkle (1992) proposed the asymmetric GARCH, or GJR, specification for the conditional variance which, for $r = s = 1$, is given by:

$$h_{it} = \mathbf{w}_i + \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{e}_{i,t-1}^2 + \mathbf{g}_i I_{i,t-1} \mathbf{e}_{i,t-1}^2 + \mathbf{b}_i h_{i,t-1} \quad (3)$$

where

$$I_{it} = \begin{cases} 0, & \mathbf{e}_{it} \geq 0 \\ 1, & \mathbf{e}_{it} < 0 \end{cases}$$

is an indicator function to distinguish between positive and negative shocks on conditional volatility.

The parameters of models (1), (2) and (3) are typically obtained by maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) using a joint normal density for \mathbf{h}_t . When \mathbf{h}_t does not follow a joint (multivariate) normal distribution, the solution to maximizing the likelihood function is defined as the Quasi-MLE (QMLE).

It is important to note that the conditional correlations are assumed to be constant for the CCC model. From equation (1), it follows that $\mathbf{e}_t \mathbf{e}_t' = D_t \mathbf{h}_t \mathbf{h}_t' D_t'$, so that $E(\mathbf{e}_t \mathbf{e}_t' | F_{t-1}) = \Omega_t = D_t \Gamma D_t'$. The conditional correlation matrix is defined as $\Gamma = D_t^{-1} \Omega_t D_t^{-1}$, where Γ has typical constant element $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_{ji}$, for $i, j = 1, \dots, m$ and $t = 1, \dots, n$.

When $m = r = s = 1$, such that a univariate model is specified, the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the second moment of \mathbf{e}_t in model (2), that is $E(\mathbf{e}_t^2) < \infty$, is $\mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{b}_1 < 1$. This condition is also sufficient for the QMLE to be consistent and asymptotically normal. For the GJR(1,1) model (3) $\mathbf{w}_1 > 0$, $\mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{g}_1 > 0$ and $\mathbf{b}_1 > 0$ are sufficient conditions to ensure that the conditional variance $h_{1t} > 0$. The short-run persistence of positive (respectively, negative) shocks is given by \mathbf{a}_1 (respectively, $\mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{g}_1$). Under the assumption that the conditional shocks \mathbf{h}_{1t} , $t = 1, \dots, n$, follow a symmetric distribution, the average short-run persistence is $\mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{g}_1/2$, and the average long-run persistence is $\mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{g}_1/2 + \mathbf{b}_1$. Ling and McAleer (2002a) showed that the necessary and sufficient condition for $E(\mathbf{e}_t^2) < \infty$ in the GJR(1,1) model is $\mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{g}_1/2 + \mathbf{b}_1 < 1$. McAleer, Chan and Marinova (2002) established the log-moment condition for GJR(1,1), namely $E(\log((\mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{g}_1 I_1(\mathbf{h}_{1t})) \mathbf{h}_{1t}^2 + \mathbf{b}_1)) < 0$, and showed that it is sufficient for the consistency and asymptotic normality of the QMLE for GJR(1,1). If the log-moment condition is satisfied, the second moment condition, namely $\mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{g}_1/2 + \mathbf{b}_1 < 1$, is also sufficient for consistency and asymptotic normality of the QMLE for GJR(1,1).

Unless \mathbf{h}_t is a sequence of iid random vectors, the assumption of constant conditional correlation will not be valid. In order to capture the dynamics of time-varying conditional correlation, Γ_t , Engle (2002) and Tse and Tsui (2002) proposed the closely related DCC model and the Variable Conditional Correlation (VCC) Multivariate GARCH model, respectively. The DCC model, which is a special case of the VCC model, is given as

$$\Gamma_t = (1 - \mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2)\Gamma + \mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{h}_{t-1} \mathbf{h}'_{t-1} + \mathbf{q}_2 \Gamma_{t-1} \quad (4)$$

in which \mathbf{q}_1 and \mathbf{q}_2 are scalar parameters to capture the effects of previous shocks and previous dynamic conditional correlations on current dynamic conditional correlations.

The purpose of the following empirical section is to investigate the asymmetric and interdependent effects of the conditional volatilities in the returns to the WTI oil forward and futures prices.

4. Data and Empirical Results

The univariate and multivariate GARCH models are estimated using daily data on WTI oil one-month forward price (WFORW) and one- (WFUT1), three- (WFUT3), six- (WFUT6), and twelve-month (WFUT12) futures prices, and their associated returns, for the period 3 January 1985 to 16 January 2004.

Figures 1-3 show the returns to the one-month forward price and the one-, three-, six-, and twelve-month futures prices. It is clear from these graphs that there is substantial clustering in the returns, and hence also in the corresponding volatilities. The returns in all the series are similar with regard to the presence of some extreme observations and the possible outlier corresponding to the first Gulf Crisis in January 1991.

The univariate estimates of the conditional volatilities based on the forward and futures returns are given in Tables 1 and 2. The three entries for each parameter are their respective estimates, asymptotic t-ratios and Bollerslev-Wooldridge (1992) robust t-ratios. The results in Table 1 are used to estimate the CCC model of Bollerslev (1990) and the DCC model of Engle (2002). Both the short- and long-run persistence of shocks are significant for forward and futures returns. The ARCH (GARCH) effect is the largest (smallest) for the twelve-month futures returns. Although the second moment condition is not satisfied for the twelve-month futures price returns, the log-moment condition is always satisfied, so that the QMLE are consistent and asymptotically normal.

The univariate GJR estimates in Table 2 are reasonably similar to the corresponding estimates in Table 1. At the univariate level, the estimates of the asymmetric effect in which negative shocks have a greater impact on volatility than positive shocks are significant only when the asymptotic t-ratios are used. The second moment condition is not satisfied for the forward and twelve-month futures returns, but the log-moment condition is always satisfied, so that the QMLE are consistent and asymptotically normal.

Constant conditional correlations between the volatilities of forward and futures returns using the CCC model based on estimating univariate GARCH(1,1) models for each returns are given in Table 3. For the five returns, there are ten conditional correlations, with the highest estimated constant conditional correlation being 0.975 between the standardized shocks to the volatilities in the three-month and six-month futures returns, and the lowest being 0.656 between the standardized shocks to the volatilities in the forward and twelve-month futures returns. The calculated constant conditional correlations would seem to be consistent with a reasonable expectation that the correlation decreases as the length of the forward contract increases.

Finally, the DCC estimates of the conditional correlations between the volatilities of forward and futures returns based on estimating univariate GARCH(1,1) models for each returns are given in Table 4. Based on the asymptotic standard errors, the estimates of the two DCC parameters are always statistically significant, which makes it clear that the assumption of constant conditional correlation is not supported empirically. The short run persistence of shocks on the dynamic correlations is greatest between the forward returns and the one-month futures returns, followed closely by the forward returns and the three-month futures returns.

The time-varying nature of the conditional correlations is highlighted by the dynamic conditional correlations between the standardized shocks to the forward and futures returns in Figures 4-13. These dynamic correlations vary dramatically, being negative in four of ten cases, close to zero in another three cases, and in the middle range for two other cases. Only in the case of the dynamic correlations between the three-month and six-month futures returns is the range of variation relatively narrow, namely (0.832, 0.996) (see Table 5). Therefore, while the dynamic conditional correlations vary considerably, it is only in one of ten cases that the variations do not lead to an economically meaningful range of variation.

The skewness and kurtosis of the dynamic conditional correlations indicate a strong negatively skewed distribution. As an example, we may consider Figure 4, which gives the DCC estimates between the forward and one-month futures returns. The mean correlation from Table 5 is 0.894, which is very close, but not identical to, the CCC estimate of 0.884. The informational value of the DCC estimate can be evaluated by examining the time series behaviour of the time-varying conditional correlations in Figure 4, as well as the maximum and minimum dynamic levels, as reported in Table 5. The maximum value of 0.998 means that, on the corresponding day, forward and one-month futures returns would have the same risk, so that taking a position in the forward or futures market would be equally risky for a one-month horizon. However, if we consider the minimum dynamic conditional correlation of -0.291, we could conclude that shocks to the conditional volatilities would not be perfect substitutes in terms of risk. In general, the dynamic volatilities in the returns to the WTI oil forward and future prices can be either independent or interdependent over time.

5. Conclusion

Substantial research has been undertaken on the spot, forward and futures markets for both physical and financial commodities. Much of the research on analyzing the relationship between spot, forward and futures prices, and their associated returns, has concentrated on the unbiasedness or efficient market hypothesis and, when such prices are non-stationary, on cointegration among these variables.

Hypotheses regarding efficient markets are important for understanding optimal decision making in terms of hedging and speculation. They are also crucial for making financial decisions about the optimal allocation of portfolios of assets in terms of their multivariate returns and the associated risks.

However, little or no research has been undertaken on analyzing the volatilities (or risks) associated with these portfolios of returns at the multivariate level. Shocks to returns can be decomposed into predictable and unpredictable components. There are two predictable components in these shocks to returns, namely the serial correlation in shocks to the conditional mean and the volatility in the conditional variance. These volatilities can vary over time, as in univariate and multivariate

GARCH models, which have become widely established in theoretical and empirical finance and financial econometrics.

In the case of modelling multivariate returns, such as the returns on the forward and futures prices of different maturities in the market for WTI oil, the shocks to returns may not only have dynamic interdependence in risks, but also in the conditional correlations. This is an extension of the constant (or static) conditional correlation approach to analyzing multivariate risks associated with portfolios of assets.

In this paper we estimated the dynamic conditional correlations in the returns on WTI oil one-month forward prices, and one-, three-, six-, and twelve-month futures prices, using recently developed multivariate conditional volatility and conditional correlation models. The dynamic correlations enabled a determination of whether the shocks to the volatilities in the forward and futures returns of various maturities were substitutes or complements. Such empirical estimates are crucial for deciding whether or not to hedge against unforeseen circumstances.

The univariate and multivariate GARCH models were estimated using daily data on WTI oil one-month forward prices and one-, three-, six-, and twelve-month futures prices, and their associated returns, for the period 3 January 1985 to 16 January 2004.

The univariate estimates of the conditional volatilities based on the forward and futures returns were statistically significant, and were used to estimate the CCC model of Bollerslev (1990) and the DCC model of Engle (2002). Although the second moment condition was not satisfied for the twelve-month futures price returns, the log-moment condition was always satisfied, so that the QMLE were always consistent and asymptotically normal.

An asymmetric model based on the univariate GJR model gave estimates that were reasonably similar to the corresponding symmetric estimates. The estimates of the asymmetric effect, in which negative shocks have a greater impact on volatility to positive shocks, were significant based on the asymptotic t-ratios. The second moment condition was not satisfied for the forward and the twelve-month futures returns, but the log-moment condition was always satisfied, so that the QMLE were always consistent and asymptotically normal.

Constant conditional correlations between the volatilities of forward and futures returns were estimated using the CCC model based on estimating univariate GARCH(1,1) models. Among the five returns, there were ten conditional correlations, with the highest estimated constant conditional correlation being 0.975 between the standardized shocks to the volatilities in the three-month and six-month futures returns, and the lowest being 0.656 between the standardized shocks to the volatilities in the forward and twelve-month futures returns.

Based on the asymptotic standard errors, the DCC estimates of the conditional correlations between the volatilities of forward and futures returns based on estimating univariate GARCH(1,1) models for each returns were always statistically significant. This result made it clear that the assumption of constant conditional correlation was not supported empirically. This was highlighted by the dynamic conditional correlations between the forward and futures returns, which varied dramatically. Moreover, the skewness and kurtosis of the dynamic conditional correlation indicated a strong negatively skewed distribution. Only in the case of the dynamic volatilities of the three-month and six-month futures returns was the range of variation relatively narrow. In general, the dynamic volatilities in the returns in the WTI oil forward and future prices could be either independent or interdependent over time.

Future research includes a more detailed examination of the design of an optimal hedging strategy based on estimating a wider range of models yielding dynamic conditional correlations.

References

- Bollerslev, T. (1986), "Generalised autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity", *Journal of Econometrics*, **31**, 307-327.
- Bollerslev, T. (1990), "Modelling the coherence in short-run nominal exchange rates: a multivariate generalized ARCH approach", *Review of Economics and Statistics*, **72**, 498-505.
- Bopp, A.E. and S. Sitzer (1987), "Are petroleum futures prices good predictors of cash value?", *Journal of Futures Markets*, **7**, 705-719.
- Boussama, F. (2000), "Asymptotic normality for the quasi-maximum likelihood estimator of a GARCH model", *Comptes Rendus de l'Academie des Sciences, Serie I*, **331**, 81-84 (in French).
- Chan, F., S. Hoti and M. McAleer (2002), "Structure and asymptotic theory for multivariate asymmetric volatility: empirical evidence for country risk ratings", paper presented to the 2002 Australasian Meeting of the Econometric Society, Brisbane, Australia, July 2002.
- Chan, F., S. Hoti and M. McAleer (2003), "Generalized autoregressive conditional correlation", unpublished paper, School of Economics and Commerce, University of Western Australia.
- Crowder, W.J. and A. Hamid (1993), "A co-integration test for oil futures market efficiency", *Journal of Futures Markets*, **13**, 933-941.
- Day, T.E. and C.M. Lewis (1993), "Forecasting Futures Markets Volatility", *Journal of Derivatives*, Winter, 33 –50.
- Duffie, D., and S. Gray (1995), "Volatility in Energy Prices", in *Managing Energy Price Risk*, Risk Publications, London , 39-55.
- Engle, R.F. (1982), "Autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity with estimates of the variance of United Kingdom inflation", *Econometrica*, **50**, 987-1007.
- Engle, R.F. (2002), "Dynamic conditional correlation: a new simple class of multivariate GARCH models", *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, **20**, 339-350.
- Engle, R.F. and K.F. Kroner (1995), "Multivariate simultaneous generalized ARCH", *Econometric Theory*, **11**, 122-150.
- Glosten L., R. Jagannathan and D. Runkle (1992), "On the relation between the expected value and volatility of nominal excess return on stock", *Journal of Finance*, **46**, 1779-1801.
- Gulen, S.G. (1999), "Regionalization in world crude oil markets: further evidence", *Energy Journal*, **20**, 125-139.
- Hammoudeh, S. and H. Li (2004), "The impact of the Asian crisis on the behaviour of US and international petroleum prices", *Energy Economics*, **26**, 135-160.

- Hammoudeh, S., H. Li and B. Jeon (2003), "Causality and volatility spillovers among petroleum prices of WTI, gasoline and heating oil in different locations", *North American Journal of Economics and Finance*, **14**, 89-114.
- Jeantheau, T. (1998), "Strong consistency of estimators for multivariate ARCH models", *Econometric Theory*, **14**, 70-86.
- Ling, S. and W.K. Li (1997), "On fractionally integrated autoregressive moving-average models with conditional heteroskedasticity", *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, **92**, 1184-1194.
- Ling, S. and M. McAleer (2002a), "Stationarity and the existence of moments of a family of GARCH processes", *Journal of Econometrics*, **106**, 109-117.
- Ling, S. and M. McAleer (2002b), "Necessary and sufficient moment conditions for the GARCH(r,s) and asymmetric power GARCH(r,s) models", *Econometric Theory*, **18**, 722-729.
- Ling, S. and M. McAleer (2003), "Asymptotic theory for a vector ARMA-GARCH model", *Econometric Theory*, **19**, 278-308.
- McAleer, M., F. Chan and D. Marinova (2002), "An econometric analysis of asymmetric volatility: Theory and application to patents", paper presented to the Australasian meeting of the Econometric Society, Brisbane, Australia, July 2002, to appear in *Journal of Econometrics*.
- Nelson, D.B. (1990), "Stationarity and persistence in the GARCH(1,1) model", *Econometric Theory*, **6**, 318-334.
- Quan, J. (1992), "Two step testing procedure for price discovery role of futures prices", *Journal of Futures Markets*, **12**, 139-149.
- Schwartz, T.V. and A.C. Szakmary (1994), "Price discovery in petroleum markets: arbitrage, co-integration and the time interval of analysis", *Journal of Futures Markets*, **14**, 147-167.
- Serletis, A. and D. Banack (1990), "Market efficiency and co-integration: an application to petroleum markets", *Review of Futures Markets*, **9**, 372-385.
- Silvapulle, P. and I. Moosa (1999), "The relationship between spot and futures prices: evidence from the crude oil market", *Journal of Futures Markets*, **19**, 175-193.
- Tse, Y.K. and A.K.C. Tsui (2002), "A multivariate generalized autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity model with time-varying correlations", *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, **20**, 351-362.
- Xiaowen, S.L. and M.N. Tamvakis (2001), "Spillover effects in energy futures markets", *Energy Economics*, **23**, 43-56.
- Xu X. and S.J. Taylor (1996), "Conditional volatility and informational efficiency of the PHLX currency options market", in *Forecasting Financial Markets*, C. Dunis (ed.), Wiley, Chichester.

Figure 1: Returns to Forward Prices for WTI, 3 January 1985 – 16 January 2004




Figure 2: Returns to One- and Three-month Futures Prices for WTI, 3 January 1985 – 16 January 2004





Figure 3: Returns to Six- and Twelve-month Futures Prices for WTI, 3 January 1985 – 16 January 2004





Figure 4: DCC between Forward and One-month Futures Returns




Figure 5: DCC between Forward and Three-month Futures Returns




Figure 6: DCC between Forward and Six-month Futures Returns




Figure 7: DCC between Forward and Twelve-month Futures Returns




Figure 8: DCC between One- and Three-month Futures Returns




Figure 9: DCC between One- and Six-month Futures Returns




Figure 10: DCC between One- and Twelve-month Futures Returns




Figure 11: DCC between Three- and Six-month Futures Returns




Figure 12: DCC between Three- and Twelve-month Futures Returns




Figure 13: DCC between Six- and Twelve-month Futures Returns




Table 1: Univariate AR(1)-GARCH(1,1) Estimates

Returns	ω	α	β	Log-moment	Second moment
WFORW	4.29E-06	0.114	0.890	-0.009	0.994
	6.259	24.619	180.481		
	3.898	6.235	67.277		
WFUT1	5.04E-06	0.102	0.897	-0.016	0.999
	7.406	22.959	185.918		
	3.978	6.726	76.461		
WFUT3	2.52E-06	0.078	0.919	-0.012	0.997
	7.291	17.066	206.711		
	2.685	7.871	103.907		
WFUT6	3.13E-06	0.090	0.901	-0.020	0.992
	8.693	19.994	177.192		
	3.858	6.699	75.440		
WFUT12	4.82E-08	0.197	0.853	-0.004	1.050
	16.291	55.153	631.711		
	17.763	6.814	50.820		

Note: The three entries for each parameter are their respective estimates, asymptotic t-ratios and Bollerslev-Wooldridge (1992) robust t-ratios.

Table 2: Univariate AR(1)-GJR(1,1) Estimates

Returns	ω	α	γ	β	$\alpha+1/2\gamma$	Log-moment	Second moment
WFORW	4.24E-06	0.134	-0.042	0.892	0.113	-0.014	1.005
	6.246	23.651	-6.211	187.561			
	3.836	3.992	-1.194	65.007			
WFUT1	5.11E-06	0.111	-0.016	0.896	0.103	-0.016	0.999
	7.390	20.421	-2.462	184.325			
	4.010	4.191	-0.524	73.317			
WFUT3	2.26E-06	0.066	0.016	0.924	0.073	-0.011	0.997
	6.694	10.917	2.271	214.241			
	2.435	4.550	0.819	110.516			
WFUT6	2.76E-06	0.075	0.019	0.908	0.084	-0.018	0.993
	7.965	12.748	2.691	182.992			
	3.631	4.188	0.830	82.079			
WFUT12	4.36E-08	0.177	0.025	0.857	0.189	-0.003	1.046
	14.717	32.343	3.534	610.328			
	10.824	3.902	0.352	53.780			

Note: The three entries for each parameter are their respective estimates, asymptotic t-ratios and Bollerslev-Wooldridge (1992) robust t-ratios.

Table 3: CCC Estimates based on GARCH(1,1)

Returns	WFORW	WFUT1	WFUT3	WFUT6	WFUT12
WFORW	1.000				
WFUT1	0.884	1.000			
WFUT3	0.855	0.921	1.000		
WFUT6	0.818	0.871	0.975	1.000	
WFUT12	0.656	0.686	0.787	0.839	1.000

Table 4: DCC Estimates based on GARCH(1,1)

Returns	θ_1	θ_2
WFORW, WFUT1	0.218 17.157	0.506 27.882
WFORW, WFUT3	0.188 5.671	0.746 12.972
WFORW, WFUT6	0.097 9.277	0.869 56.258
WFORW, WFUT12	0.059 7.103	0.934 94.473
WFUT1, WFUT3	0.078 11.160	0.911 112.651
WFUT1, WFUT6	0.070 11.671	0.916 124.294
WFUT1, WFUT12	0.046 9.175	0.953 179.445
WFUT3, WFUT6	0.049 9.505	0.945 151.155
WFUT3, WFUT12	0.051 91.826	0.948 4222.739
WFUT6, WFUT12	0.055 13.340	0.944 226.657

Notes: The model is $\Gamma_t = (1 - q_1 - q_2)\Gamma + q_1 h_{t-1} h_{t-1}' + q_2 \Gamma_{t-1}$. The two entries for each parameter are their respective estimates and asymptotic t-ratios.

Table 5: Descriptive Statistics for Dynamic Conditional Correlations

Returns	Mean	Min.	Max.	S.D.	Skewness	Kurtosis
WFORW, WFUT1	0.894	-0.291	0.998	0.085	-5.181	40.842
WFORW, WFUT3	0.857	-0.155	0.994	0.112	-3.015	15.776
WFORW, WFUT6	0.818	0.111	0.985	0.107	-1.954	8.281
WFORW, WFUT12	0.689	-0.013	0.960	0.177	-0.971	3.277
WFUT1, WFUT3	0.922	0.403	0.994	0.062	-2.924	16.126
WFUT1, WFUT6	0.869	0.324	0.988	0.088	-2.274	10.046
WFUT1, WFUT12	0.715	-0.133	0.969	0.213	-1.472	4.560
WFUT3, WFUT6	0.974	0.832	0.996	0.019	-2.411	10.800
WFUT3, WFUT12	0.817	0.016	0.980	0.199	-2.183	7.012
WFUT6, WFUT12	0.873	0.051	0.993	0.212	-2.421	7.626

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

Our Note di Lavoro are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

<http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.html>

<http://www.ssrn.com/link/feem.html>

NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2003

PRIV	1.2003	<i>Gabriella CHIESA and Giovanna NICODANO</i> : <u>Privatization and Financial Market Development: Theoretical Issues</u>
PRIV	2.2003	<i>Ibolya SCHINDELE</i> : <u>Theory of Privatization in Eastern Europe: Literature Review</u>
PRIV	3.2003	<i>Wietze LISE, Claudia KEMFERT and Richard S.J. TOL</i> : <u>Strategic Action in the Liberalised German Electricity Market</u>
CLIM	4.2003	<i>Laura MARSILIANI and Thomas I. RENSTRÖM</i> : <u>Environmental Policy and Capital Movements: The Role of Government Commitment</u>
KNOW	5.2003	<i>Reyer GERLAGH</i> : <u>Induced Technological Change under Technological Competition</u>
ETA	6.2003	<i>Efrem CASTELNUOVO</i> : <u>Squeezing the Interest Rate Smoothing Weight with a Hybrid Expectations Model</u>
SIEV	7.2003	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Alberto LONGO, Stefania TONIN, Francesco TROMBETTA and Margherita TURVANI</i> : <u>The Role of Liability, Regulation and Economic Incentives in Brownfield Remediation and Redevelopment: Evidence from Surveys of Developers</u>
NRM	8.2003	<i>Elissaios POPYRAKIS and Reyner GERLAGH</i> : <u>Natural Resources: A Blessing or a Curse?</u>
CLIM	9.2003	<i>A. CAPARRÓS, J.-C. PEREAU and T. TAZDAÏT</i> : <u>North-South Climate Change Negotiations: a Sequential Game with Asymmetric Information</u>
KNOW	10.2003	<i>Giorgio BRUNELLO and Daniele CHECCHI</i> : <u>School Quality and Family Background in Italy</u>
CLIM	11.2003	<i>Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Marzio GALEOTTI</i> : <u>Learning By Doing vs Learning By Researching in a Model of Climate Change Policy Analysis</u>
KNOW	12.2003	<i>Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI (eds.)</i> : <u>Economic Growth, Innovation, Cultural Diversity: What are we all talking about? A critical survey of the state-of-the-art</u>
KNOW	13.2003	<i>Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO, Dino PINELLI and Francesco RULLANI (lix)</i> : <u>Bio-Ecological Diversity vs. Socio-Economic Diversity. A Comparison of Existing Measures</u>
KNOW	14.2003	<i>Maddy JANSSENS and Chris STEYAERT (lix)</i> : <u>Theories of Diversity within Organisation Studies: Debates and Future Trajectories</u>
KNOW	15.2003	<i>Tuzin BAYCAN LEVENT, Enno MASUREL and Peter NIJKAMP (lix)</i> : <u>Diversity in Entrepreneurship: Ethnic and Female Roles in Urban Economic Life</u>
KNOW	16.2003	<i>Alexandra BITUSIKOVA (lix)</i> : <u>Post-Communist City on its Way from Grey to Colourful: The Case Study from Slovakia</u>
KNOW	17.2003	<i>Billy E. VAUGHN and Katarina MLEKOV (lix)</i> : <u>A Stage Model of Developing an Inclusive Community</u>
KNOW	18.2003	<i>Selma van LONDEN and Arie de RUIJTER (lix)</i> : <u>Managing Diversity in a Globalizing World</u>
Coalition		
Theory	19.2003	<i>Sergio CURRARINI</i> : <u>On the Stability of Hierarchies in Games with Externalities</u>
Network		
PRIV	20.2003	<i>Giacomo CALZOLARI and Alessandro PAVAN (lx)</i> : <u>Monopoly with Resale</u>
PRIV	21.2003	<i>Claudio MEZZETTI (lx)</i> : <u>Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition</u>
PRIV	22.2003	<i>Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx)</i> : <u>Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-Price Auctions</u>
PRIV	23.2003	<i>David ETTINGER (lx)</i> : <u>Bidding among Friends and Enemies</u>
PRIV	24.2003	<i>Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx)</i> : <u>Auction Design without Commitment</u>
PRIV	25.2003	<i>Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx)</i> : <u>Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions</u>
PRIV	26.2003	<i>Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx)</i> : <u>Rationing in IPOs</u>
PRIV	27.2003	<i>Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAIEV (lx)</i> : <u>Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes</u>
PRIV	28.2003	<i>Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx)</i> : <u>Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts</u>
PRIV	29.2003	<i>TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx)</i> : <u>Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment</u>
PRIV	30.2003	<i>Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx)</i> : <u>Auctions with Financial Externalities</u>
ETA	31.2003	<i>Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN</i> : <u>A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games</u>
KNOW	32.2003	<i>Michele MORETTO</i> : <u>Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty</u>
PRIV	33.2003	<i>Philippe QUIRION</i> : <u>Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture?</u>
KNOW	34.2003	<i>Giuseppe MEDA, Claudio PIGA and Donald SIEGEL</i> : <u>On the Relationship between R&D and Productivity: A Treatment Effect Analysis</u>
ETA	35.2003	<i>Alessandra DEL BOCA, Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA</i> : <u>Non-convexities in the Adjustment of Different Capital Inputs: A Firm-level Investigation</u>

GG	36.2003	<i>Matthieu GLACHANT</i> : <u>Voluntary Agreements under Endogenous Legislative Threats</u>
PRIV	37.2003	<i>Narjess BOUBAKRI, Jean-Claude COSSET and Omrane GUEDHAMI</i> : <u>Postprivatization Corporate Governance: the Role of Ownership Structure and Investor Protection</u>
CLIM	38.2003	<i>Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Climate Policy under Technology Spillovers</u>
KNOW	39.2003	<i>Slim BEN YOUSSEF</i> : <u>Transboundary Pollution, R&D Spillovers and International Trade</u>
CTN	40.2003	<i>Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI</i> : <u>Endogenous Strategic Issue Linkage in International Negotiations</u>
KNOW	41.2003	<i>Sonia OREFFICE</i> : <u>Abortion and Female Power in the Household: Evidence from Labor Supply</u>
KNOW	42.2003	<i>Timo GOESCHL and Timothy SWANSON</i> : <u>On Biology and Technology: The Economics of Managing Biotechnologies</u>
ETA	43.2003	<i>Giorgio Busetti and Matteo MANERA</i> : <u>STAR-GARCH Models for Stock Market Interactions in the Pacific Basin Region, Japan and US</u>
CLIM	44.2003	<i>Katrin MILLOCK and Céline NAUGES</i> : <u>The French Tax on Air Pollution: Some Preliminary Results on its Effectiveness</u>
PRIV	45.2003	<i>Bernardo BORTOLOTTI and Paolo PINOTTI</i> : <u>The Political Economy of Privatization</u>
SIEV	46.2003	<i>Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH</i> : <u>Burn or Bury? A Social Cost Comparison of Final Waste Disposal Methods</u>
ETA	47.2003	<i>Jens HORBACH</i> : <u>Employment and Innovations in the Environmental Sector: Determinants and Econometrical Results for Germany</u>
CLIM	48.2003	<i>Lori SNYDER, Nolan MILLER and Robert STAVINS</i> : <u>The Effects of Environmental Regulation on Technology Diffusion: The Case of Chlorine Manufacturing</u>
CLIM	49.2003	<i>Lori SNYDER, Robert STAVINS and Alexander F. WAGNER</i> : <u>Private Options to Use Public Goods. Exploiting Revealed Preferences to Estimate Environmental Benefits</u>
CTN	50.2003	<i>László Á. KÓCZY and Luc LAUWERS</i> (Ixi): <u>The Minimal Dominant Set is a Non-Empty Core-Extension</u>
CTN	51.2003	<i>Matthew O. JACKSON</i> (Ixi): <u>Allocation Rules for Network Games</u>
CTN	52.2003	<i>Ana MAULEON and Vincent VANNETELBOSCH</i> (Ixi): <u>Farsightedness and Cautiousness in Coalition Formation</u>
CTN	53.2003	<i>Fernando VEGA-REDONDO</i> (Ixi): <u>Building Up Social Capital in a Changing World: a network approach</u>
CTN	54.2003	<i>Matthew HAAG and Roger LAGUNOFF</i> (Ixi): <u>On the Size and Structure of Group Cooperation</u>
CTN	55.2003	<i>Tajji FURUSAWA and Hideo KONISHI</i> (Ixi): <u>Free Trade Networks</u>
CTN	56.2003	<i>Halis Murat YILDIZ</i> (Ixi): <u>National Versus International Mergers and Trade Liberalization</u>
CTN	57.2003	<i>Santiago RUBIO and Alistair ULPH</i> (Ixi): <u>An Infinite-Horizon Model of Dynamic Membership of International Environmental Agreements</u>
KNOW	58.2003	<i>Carole MAIGNAN, Dino PINELLI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO</i> : <u>ICT, Clusters and Regional Cohesion: A Summary of Theoretical and Empirical Research</u>
KNOW	59.2003	<i>Giorgio BELLETTINI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO</i> : <u>Special Interests and Technological Change</u>
ETA	60.2003	<i>Ronnie SCHÖB</i> : <u>The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey</u>
CLIM	61.2003	<i>Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK</i> : <u>Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel Formation Game</u>
GG	62.2003	<i>Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN</i> : <u>How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of International Environmental Agreements</u>
SIEV	63.2003	<i>Alberto PETRUCCI</i> : <u>Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy</u>
CLIM	64.2003	<i>Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS</i> : <u>Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate Policy Architectures</u>
SIEV	65.2003	<i>Edi DEFRANCESCO</i> : <u>The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy</u>
SIEV	66.2003	<i>Klaus CONRAD</i> : <u>Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment</u>
SIEV	67.2003	<i>Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ</i> : <u>Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise</u>
CLIM	68.2003	<i>ZhongXiang ZHANG</i> : <u>Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply with its Kyoto Target</u>
KNOW	69.2003	<i>David FRANTZ</i> (Iix): <u>Lorenzo Market between Diversity and Mutation</u>
KNOW	70.2003	<i>Ercole SORI</i> (Iix): <u>Mapping Diversity in Social History</u>
KNOW	71.2003	<i>Ljiljana DERU SIMIC</i> (Ixi): <u>What is Specific about Art/Cultural Projects?</u>
KNOW	72.2003	<i>Natalya V. TARANOVA</i> (Ixi): <u>The Role of the City in Fostering Intergroup Communication in a Multicultural Environment: Saint-Petersburg's Case</u>
KNOW	73.2003	<i>Kristine CRANE</i> (Ixi): <u>The City as an Arena for the Expression of Multiple Identities in the Age of Globalisation and Migration</u>
KNOW	74.2003	<i>Kazuma MATOBA</i> (Ixi): <u>Glocal Dialogue- Transformation through Transcultural Communication</u>
KNOW	75.2003	<i>Catarina REIS OLIVEIRA</i> (Ixi): <u>Immigrants' Entrepreneurial Opportunities: The Case of the Chinese in Portugal</u>
KNOW	76.2003	<i>Sandra WALLMAN</i> (Ixi): <u>The Diversity of Diversity - towards a typology of urban systems</u>
KNOW	77.2003	<i>Richard PEARCE</i> (Ixi): <u>A Biologist's View of Individual Cultural Identity for the Study of Cities</u>
KNOW	78.2003	<i>Vincent MERK</i> (Ixi): <u>Communication Across Cultures: from Cultural Awareness to Reconciliation of the Dilemmas</u>
KNOW	79.2003	<i>Giorgio BELLETTINI, Carlotta BERTI CERONI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO</i> : <u>Child Labor and Resistance to Change</u>
ETA	80.2003	<i>Michele MORETTO, Paolo M. PANTEGHINI and Carlo SCARPA</i> : <u>Investment Size and Firm's Value under Profit Sharing Regulation</u>

IEM	81.2003	<i>Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Massimo GIOVANNINI: <u>Oil and Product Dynamics in International Petroleum Markets</u></i>
CLIM	82.2003	<i>Y. Hossein FARZIN and Jinhua ZHAO: <u>Pollution Abatement Investment When Firms Lobby Against Environmental Regulation</u></i>
CLIM	83.2003	<i>Giuseppe DI VITA: <u>Is the Discount Rate Relevant in Explaining the Environmental Kuznets Curve?</u></i>
CLIM	84.2003	<i>Reyer GERLAGH and Wietze LISE: <u>Induced Technological Change Under Carbon Taxes</u></i>
NRM	85.2003	<i>Rinaldo BRAU, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PIGLIARU: <u>How Fast are the Tourism Countries Growing? The cross-country evidence</u></i>
KNOW	86.2003	<i>Elena BELLINI, Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI: <u>The ICT Revolution: opportunities and risks for the Mezzogiorno</u></i>
SIEV	87.2003	<i>Lucas BRETSCGHER and Sjak SMULDERS: <u>Sustainability and Substitution of Exhaustible Natural Resources. How resource prices affect long-term R&D investments</u></i>
CLIM	88.2003	<i>Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS: <u>New Roads to International Environmental Agreements: The Case of Global Warming</u></i>
CLIM	89.2003	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>Economic Development and Environmental Protection</u></i>
CLIM	90.2003	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI: <u>Environment and Economic Growth: Is Technical Change the Key to Decoupling?</u></i>
CLIM	91.2003	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI and Barbara BUCHNER: <u>Climate Policy and Economic Growth in Developing Countries</u></i>
IEM	92.2003	<i>A. MARKANDYA, A. GOLUB and E. STRUKOVA: <u>The Influence of Climate Change Considerations on Energy Policy: The Case of Russia</u></i>
ETA	93.2003	<i>Andrea BELTRATTI: <u>Socially Responsible Investment in General Equilibrium</u></i>
CTN	94.2003	<i>Parkash CHANDER: <u>The γ-Core and Coalition Formation</u></i>
IEM	95.2003	<i>Matteo MANERA and Angelo MARZULLO: <u>Modelling the Load Curve of Aggregate Electricity Consumption Using Principal Components</u></i>
IEM	96.2003	<i>Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA, Margherita GRASSO and Massimo GIOVANNINI: <u>Long-run Models of Oil Stock Prices</u></i>
CTN	97.2003	<i>Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES, and D. Marc KILGOUR: <u>Forming Stable Coalitions: The Process Matters</u></i>
KNOW	98.2003	<i>John CROWLEY, Marie-Cecile NAVES (Ixiix): <u>Anti-Racist Policies in France. From Ideological and Historical Schemes to Socio-Political Realities</u></i>
KNOW	99.2003	<i>Richard THOMPSON FORD (Ixiii): <u>Cultural Rights and Civic Virtue</u></i>
KNOW	100.2003	<i>Alaknanda PATEL (Ixiii): <u>Cultural Diversity and Conflict in Multicultural Cities</u></i>
KNOW	101.2003	<i>David MAY (Ixiii): <u>The Struggle of Becoming Established in a Deprived Inner-City Neighbourhood</u></i>
KNOW	102.2003	<i>Sébastien ARCAND, Danielle JUTEAU, Sirma BILGE, and Francine LEMIRE (Ixiii) : <u>Municipal Reform on the Island of Montreal: Tensions Between Two Majority Groups in a Multicultural City</u></i>
CLIM	103.2003	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: <u>China and the Evolution of the Present Climate Regime</u></i>
CLIM	104.2003	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: <u>Emissions Trading Regimes and Incentives to Participate in International Climate Agreements</u></i>
CLIM	105.2003	<i>Anil MARKANDYA and Dirk T.G. RÜBBELKE: <u>Ancillary Benefits of Climate Policy</u></i>
NRM	106.2003	<i>Anne Sophie CRÉPIN (Ixiv): <u>Management Challenges for Multiple-Species Boreal Forests</u></i>
NRM	107.2003	<i>Anne Sophie CRÉPIN (Ixiv): <u>Threshold Effects in Coral Reef Fisheries</u></i>
SIEV	108.2003	<i>Sara ANIYAR (Ixiv): <u>Estimating the Value of Oil Capital in a Small Open Economy: The Venezuela's Example</u></i>
SIEV	109.2003	<i>Kenneth ARROW, Partha DASGUPTA and Karl-Göran MÄLER (Ixiv): <u>Evaluating Projects and Assessing Sustainable Development in Imperfect Economies</u></i>
NRM	110.2003	<i>Anastasios XEPAPADEAS and Catarina ROSETA-PALMA (Ixiv): <u>Instabilities and Robust Control in Fisheries</u></i>
NRM	111.2003	<i>Charles PERRINGS and Brian WALKER (Ixiv): <u>Conservation and Optimal Use of Rangelands</u></i>
ETA	112.2003	<i>Jack GOODY (Ixiv): <u>Globalisation, Population and Ecology</u></i>
CTN	113.2003	<i>Carlo CARRARO, Carmen MARCHIORI and Sonia OREFFICE: <u>Endogenous Minimum Participation in International Environmental Treaties</u></i>
CTN	114.2003	<i>Guillaume HAERINGER and Myrna WOODERS: <u>Decentralized Job Matching</u></i>
CTN	115.2003	<i>Hideo KONISHI and M. Utku UNVER: <u>Credible Group Stability in Multi-Partner Matching Problems</u></i>
CTN	116.2003	<i>Somdeb LAHIRI: <u>Stable Matchings for the Room-Mates Problem</u></i>
CTN	117.2003	<i>Somdeb LAHIRI: <u>Stable Matchings for a Generalized Marriage Problem</u></i>
CTN	118.2003	<i>Marita LAUKKANEN: <u>Transboundary Fisheries Management under Implementation Uncertainty</u></i>
CTN	119.2003	<i>Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: <u>Social Conformity and Bounded Rationality in Arbitrary Games with Incomplete Information: Some First Results</u></i>
CTN	120.2003	<i>Gianluigi VERNASCA: <u>Dynamic Price Competition with Price Adjustment Costs and Product Differentiation</u></i>
CTN	121.2003	<i>Myrna WOODERS, Edward CARTWRIGHT and Reinhard SELTEN: <u>Social Conformity in Games with Many Players</u></i>
CTN	122.2003	<i>Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: <u>On Equilibrium in Pure Strategies in Games with Many Players</u></i>
CTN	123.2003	<i>Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: <u>Conformity and Bounded Rationality in Games with Many Players</u></i>
	1000	Carlo CARRARO, Alessandro LANZA and Valeria PAPPONETTI: <u>One Thousand Working Papers</u>

NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2004

IEM	1.2004	<i>Anil MARKANDYA, Suzette PEDROSO and Alexander GOLUB:</i> <u>Empirical Analysis of National Income and So2 Emissions in Selected European Countries</u>
ETA	2.2004	<i>Masahisa FUJITA and Shlomo WEBER:</i> <u>Strategic Immigration Policies and Welfare in Heterogeneous Countries</u>
PRA	3.2004	<i>Adolfo DI CARLUCCIO, Giovanni FERRI, Cecilia FRALE and Ottavio RICCHI:</i> <u>Do Privatizations Boost Household Shareholding? Evidence from Italy</u>
ETA	4.2004	<i>Victor GINSBURGH and Shlomo WEBER:</i> <u>Languages Disenfranchisement in the European Union</u>
ETA	5.2004	<i>Romano PIRAS:</i> <u>Growth, Congestion of Public Goods, and Second-Best Optimal Policy</u>
CCMP	6.2004	<i>Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH:</i> <u>Lessons from the Polder: Is Dutch CO2-Taxation Optimal</u>
PRA	7.2004	<i>Sandro BRUSCO, Giuseppe LOPOMO and S. VISWANATHAN (lxv):</i> <u>Merger Mechanisms</u>
PRA	8.2004	<i>Wolfgang AUSSENEGG, Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv):</i> <u>IPO Pricing with Bookbuilding, and a When-Issued Market</u>
PRA	9.2004	<i>Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv):</i> <u>Primary Market Design: Direct Mechanisms and Markets</u>
PRA	10.2004	<i>Florian ENGLMAIER, Pablo GUILLEN, Loreto LLORENTE, Sander ONDERSTAL and Rupert SAUSGRUBER (lxv):</i> <u>The Chopstick Auction: A Study of the Exposure Problem in Multi-Unit Auctions</u>
PRA	11.2004	<i>Bjarne BRENDSTRUP and Harry J. PAARSCH (lxv):</i> <u>Nonparametric Identification and Estimation of Multi-Unit, Sequential, Oral, Ascending-Price Auctions With Asymmetric Bidders</u>
PRA	12.2004	<i>Ohad KADAN (lxv):</i> <u>Equilibrium in the Two Player, k-Double Auction with Affiliated Private Values</u>
PRA	13.2004	<i>Maarten C.W. JANSSEN (lxv):</i> <u>Auctions as Coordination Devices</u>
PRA	14.2004	<i>Gadi FIBICH, Arieh GAVIOUS and Aner SELA (lxv):</i> <u>All-Pay Auctions with Weakly Risk-Averse Buyers</u>
PRA	15.2004	<i>Orly SADE, Charles SCHNITZLEIN and Jaime F. ZENDER (lxv):</i> <u>Competition and Cooperation in Divisible Good Auctions: An Experimental Examination</u>
PRA	16.2004	<i>Marta STRYSZOWSKA (lxv):</i> <u>Late and Multiple Bidding in Competing Second Price Internet Auctions</u>
CCMP	17.2004	<i>Slim Ben YOUSSEF:</i> <u>R&D in Cleaner Technology and International Trade</u>
NRM	18.2004	<i>Angelo ANTOCI, Simone BORGHESI and Paolo RUSSU (lxvi):</i> <u>Biodiversity and Economic Growth: Stabilization Versus Preservation of the Ecological Dynamics</u>
SIEV	19.2004	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Paolo ROSATO, Alberto LONGO and Valentina ZANATTA:</i> <u>Information and Willingness to Pay in a Contingent Valuation Study: The Value of S. Erasmo in the Lagoon of Venice</u>
NRM	20.2004	<i>Guido CANDELA and Roberto CELLINI (lxvii):</i> <u>Investment in Tourism Market: A Dynamic Model of Differentiated Oligopoly</u>
NRM	21.2004	<i>Jacqueline M. HAMILTON (lxvii):</i> <u>Climate and the Destination Choice of German Tourists</u>
NRM	22.2004	<i>Javier Rey-MAQUIEIRA PALMER, Javier LOZANO IBÁÑEZ and Carlos Mario GÓMEZ GÓMEZ (lxvii):</i> <u>Land, Environmental Externalities and Tourism Development</u>
NRM	23.2004	<i>Pius ODUNGA and Henk FOLMER (lxvii):</i> <u>Profiling Tourists for Balanced Utilization of Tourism-Based Resources in Kenya</u>
NRM	24.2004	<i>Jean-Jacques NOWAK, Mondher SAHLI and Pasquale M. SGRO (lxvii):</i> <u>Tourism, Trade and Domestic Welfare</u>
NRM	25.2004	<i>Riaz SHAREEF (lxvii):</i> <u>Country Risk Ratings of Small Island Tourism Economies</u>
NRM	26.2004	<i>Juan Luis EUGENIO-MARTÍN, Noelia MARTÍN MORALES and Riccardo SCARPA (lxvii):</i> <u>Tourism and Economic Growth in Latin American Countries: A Panel Data Approach</u>
NRM	27.2004	<i>Raúl Hernández MARTÍN (lxvii):</i> <u>Impact of Tourism Consumption on GDP. The Role of Imports</u>
CSRM	28.2004	<i>Nicoletta FERRO:</i> <u>Cross-Country Ethical Dilemmas in Business: A Descriptive Framework</u>
NRM	29.2004	<i>Marian WEBER (lxvi):</i> <u>Assessing the Effectiveness of Tradable Landuse Rights for Biodiversity Conservation: an Application to Canada's Boreal Mixedwood Forest</u>
NRM	30.2004	<i>Trond BJORN DAL, Phoebe KOUNDOURI and Sean PASCOE (lxvi):</i> <u>Output Substitution in Multi-Species Trawl Fisheries: Implications for Quota Setting</u>
CCMP	31.2004	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI:</i> <u>Weather Impacts on Natural, Social and Economic Systems (WISE) Part I: Sectoral Analysis of Climate Impacts in Italy</u>
CCMP	32.2004	<i>Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI:</i> <u>Weather Impacts on Natural, Social and Economic Systems (WISE) Part II: Individual Perception of Climate Extremes in Italy</u>
CTN	33.2004	<i>Wilson PEREZ:</i> <u>Divide and Conquer: Noisy Communication in Networks, Power, and Wealth Distribution</u>
KTHC	34.2004	<i>Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI (lxviii):</i> <u>The Economic Value of Cultural Diversity: Evidence from US Cities</u>
KTHC	35.2004	<i>Linda CHAIB (lxviii):</i> <u>Immigration and Local Urban Participatory Democracy: A Boston-Paris Comparison</u>
KTHC	36.2004	<i>Franca ECKERT COEN and Claudio ROSSI (lxviii):</i> <u>Foreigners, Immigrants, Host Cities: The Policies of Multi-Ethnicity in Rome. Reading Governance in a Local Context</u>
KTHC	37.2004	<i>Kristine CRANE (lxviii):</i> <u>Governing Migration: Immigrant Groups' Strategies in Three Italian Cities – Rome, Naples and Bari</u>
KTHC	38.2004	<i>Kiflemariam HAMDE (lxviii):</i> <u>Mind in Africa, Body in Europe: The Struggle for Maintaining and Transforming Cultural Identity - A Note from the Experience of Eritrean Immigrants in Stockholm</u>
ETA	39.2004	<i>Alberto CAVALIERE:</i> <u>Price Competition with Information Disparities in a Vertically Differentiated Duopoly</u>
PRA	40.2004	<i>Andrea BIGANO and Stef PROOST:</i> <u>The Opening of the European Electricity Market and Environmental Policy: Does the Degree of Competition Matter?</u>
CCMP	41.2004	<i>Micheal FINUS (lxix):</i> <u>International Cooperation to Resolve International Pollution Problems</u>

KTHC	42.2004	<i>Francesco CRESPI</i> : <u>Notes on the Determinants of Innovation: A Multi-Perspective Analysis</u>
CTN	43.2004	<i>Sergio CURRARINI and Marco MARINI</i> : <u>Coalition Formation in Games without Synergies</u>
CTN	44.2004	<i>Marc ESCRHUELA-VILLAR</i> : <u>Cartel Sustainability and Cartel Stability</u>
NRM	45.2004	<i>Sebastian BERVOETS and Nicolas GRAVEL</i> (Ixvi): <u>Appraising Diversity with an Ordinal Notion of Similarity: An Axiomatic Approach</u>
NRM	46.2004	<i>Signe ANTHON and Bo JELLESMARK THORSEN</i> (Ixvi): <u>Optimal Afforestation Contracts with Asymmetric Information on Private Environmental Benefits</u>
NRM	47.2004	<i>John MBURU</i> (Ixvi): <u>Wildlife Conservation and Management in Kenya: Towards a Co-management Approach</u>
NRM	48.2004	<i>Ekin BIROL, Ágnes GYOVAI and Melinda SMALE</i> (Ixvi): <u>Using a Choice Experiment to Value Agricultural Biodiversity on Hungarian Small Farms: Agri-Environmental Policies in a Transitional Economy</u>
CCMP	49.2004	<i>Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON</i> : <u>The EU Emissions Trading Scheme. Allowance Prices, Trade Flows, Competitiveness Effects</u>
GG	50.2004	<i>Scott BARRETT and Michael HOEL</i> : <u>Optimal Disease Eradication</u>
CTN	51.2004	<i>Dinko DIMITROV, Peter BORM, Ruud HENDRICKX and Shao CHIN SUNG</i> : <u>Simple Priorities and Core Stability in Hedonic Games</u>
SIEV	52.2004	<i>Francesco RICCI</i> : <u>Channels of Transmission of Environmental Policy to Economic Growth: A Survey of the Theory</u>
SIEV	53.2004	<i>Anna ALBERINI, Maureen CROPPER, Alan KRUPNICK and Nathalie B. SIMON</i> : <u>Willingness to Pay for Mortality Risk Reductions: Does Latency Matter?</u>
NRM	54.2004	<i>Ingo BRÄUER and Rainer MARGGRAF</i> (Ixvi): <u>Valuation of Ecosystem Services Provided by Biodiversity Conservation: An Integrated Hydrological and Economic Model to Value the Enhanced Nitrogen Retention in Renaturated Streams</u>
NRM	55.2004	<i>Timo GOESCHL and Tun LIN</i> (Ixvi): <u>Biodiversity Conservation on Private Lands: Information Problems and Regulatory Choices</u>
NRM	56.2004	<i>Tom DEDEURWAERDERE</i> (Ixvi): <u>Bioprospection: From the Economics of Contracts to Reflexive Governance</u>
CCMP	57.2004	<i>Katrin REHDANZ and David MADDISON</i> : <u>The Amenity Value of Climate to German Households</u>
CCMP	58.2004	<i>Koen SMEKENS and Bob VAN DER ZWAAN</i> : <u>Environmental Externalities of Geological Carbon Sequestration Effects on Energy Scenarios</u>
NRM	59.2004	<i>Valentina BOSETTI, Mariaester CASSINELLI and Alessandro LANZA</i> (Ixvii): <u>Using Data Envelopment Analysis to Evaluate Environmentally Conscious Tourism Management</u>
NRM	60.2004	<i>Timo GOESCHL and Danilo CAMARGO IGLIORI</i> (Ixvi): <u>Property Rights Conservation and Development: An Analysis of Extractive Reserves in the Brazilian Amazon</u>
CCMP	61.2004	<i>Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO</i> : <u>Economic and Environmental Effectiveness of a Technology-based Climate Protocol</u>
NRM	62.2004	<i>Elissaios POPYRAKIS and Reyner GERLAGH</i> : <u>Resource-Abundance and Economic Growth in the U.S.</u>
NRM	63.2004	<i>Györgyi BELA, György PATAKI, Melinda SMALE and Mariann HAJDÚ</i> (Ixvi): <u>Conserving Crop Genetic Resources on Smallholder Farms in Hungary: Institutional Analysis</u>
NRM	64.2004	<i>E.C.M. RUIJGROK and E.E.M. NILLESEN</i> (Ixvi): <u>The Socio-Economic Value of Natural Riverbanks in the Netherlands</u>
NRM	65.2004	<i>E.C.M. RUIJGROK</i> (Ixvi): <u>Reducing Acidification: The Benefits of Increased Nature Quality. Investigating the Possibilities of the Contingent Valuation Method</u>
ETA	66.2004	<i>Giannis VARDAS and Anastasios XEPAPADEAS</i> : <u>Uncertainty Aversion, Robust Control and Asset Holdings</u>
GG	67.2004	<i>Anastasios XEPAPADEAS and Constadina PASSA</i> : <u>Participation in and Compliance with Public Voluntary Environmental Programs: An Evolutionary Approach</u>
GG	68.2004	<i>Michael FINUS</i> : <u>Modesty Pays: Sometimes!</u>
NRM	69.2004	<i>Trond BJØRNDAL and Ana BRASÃO</i> : <u>The Northern Atlantic Bluefin Tuna Fisheries: Management and Policy Implications</u>
CTN	70.2004	<i>Alejandro CAPARRÓS, Abdelhakim HAMMOUDI and Tarik TAZDAÏT</i> : <u>On Coalition Formation with Heterogeneous Agents</u>
IEM	71.2004	<i>Massimo GIOVANNINI, Margherita GRASSO, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA</i> : <u>Conditional Correlations in the Returns on Oil Companies Stock Prices and Their Determinants</u>
IEM	72.2004	<i>Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Michael MCALEER</i> : <u>Modelling Dynamic Conditional Correlations in WTI Oil Forward and Futures Returns</u>

- (lix) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Mapping Diversity”, Leuven, May 16-17, 2002
- (lx) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on “Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications”, organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, September 26-28, 2002
- (lxi) This paper was presented at the Eighth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the GREQAM, Aix-en-Provence, France, January 24-25, 2003
- (lxii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Communication across Cultures in Multicultural Cities”, The Hague, November 7-8, 2002
- (lxiii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Social dynamics and conflicts in multicultural cities”, Milan, March 20-21, 2003
- (lxiv) This paper was presented at the International Conference on “Theoretical Topics in Ecological Economics”, organised by the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics - ICTP, the Beijer International Institute of Ecological Economics, and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei – FEEM Trieste, February 10-21, 2003
- (lxv) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on “Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications” organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and sponsored by the EU, Milan, September 25-27, 2003
- (lxvi) This paper has been presented at the 4th BioEcon Workshop on “Economic Analysis of Policies for Biodiversity Conservation” organised on behalf of the BIOECON Network by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice International University (VIU) and University College London (UCL), Venice, August 28-29, 2003
- (lxvii) This paper has been presented at the international conference on “Tourism and Sustainable Economic Development – Macro and Micro Economic Issues” jointly organised by CRENoS (Università di Cagliari e Sassari, Italy) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and supported by the World Bank, Sardinia, September 19-20, 2003
- (lxviii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on “Governance and Policies in Multicultural Cities”, Rome, June 5-6, 2003
- (lxix) This paper was presented at the Fourth EEP Plenary Workshop and EEP Conference “The Future of Climate Policy”, Cagliari, Italy, 27-28 March 2003

2003 SERIES

CLIM	<i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
GG	<i>Global Governance</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SIEV	<i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Anna Alberini)
NRM	<i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KNOW	<i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
IEM	<i>International Energy Markets</i> (Editor: Anil Markandya)
CSR	<i>Corporate Social Responsibility and Management</i> (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
PRIV	<i>Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	<i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
CTN	<i>Coalition Theory Network</i>

2004 SERIES

CCMP	<i>Climate Change Modelling and Policy</i> (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
GG	<i>Global Governance</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SIEV	<i>Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation</i> (Editor: Anna Alberini)
NRM	<i>Natural Resources Management</i> (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KTHC	<i>Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital</i> (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
IEM	<i>International Energy Markets</i> (Editor: Anil Markandya)
CSR	<i>Corporate Social Responsibility and Management</i> (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
PRA	<i>Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust</i> (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	<i>Economic Theory and Applications</i> (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
CTN	<i>Coalition Theory Network</i>